



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/006,266	12/04/2001	Masaaki Isozu	09792909-5283	2060	
26263	7590 02/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENS	CHEIN NATH & RC	DOAN, DUYEN MY			
P.O. BOX 06 WACKER I	61080 DRIVE STATION, SEA	RS TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60606-1080	2143			

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**************************************							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/006,266	ISOZU ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Duyen M. Doan	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compaction following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		o final raigation, whichave	oria latar In na				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS		,					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		because				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	•	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		, 1					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Na(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As regard to applicant argument "the prior art failed to disclose a communication relay device having a session control means for controlling a session status of a data communication session on a first of the plurality of control terminals... and for controlling access to the session in accordance with a processing request received from one of the control terminal". Examiner disagrees; the communication relay device is in the preamble, therefore, given no patentable weight to it.

Bhagavath discloses a technique to store session information such as address and the transition information to allow continuation of the session (see Bhagavath abstract). Further more, Bhagavath discloses using a proxy to control the data about the status of the session, such as timestamp of the session and addresses of the mobile terminal etc... (see Bhagavath col.8, lines 12-42, col.9, lines 1-20). Samadi also discloses a method for allowing ongoing call to continue when a user travels from an area covered by one communication network to an area covered by a different communication network. Samadi's invention put the session on hold in response to a pause request make by a user of one of the end points. When the reconnection request make by the user, the system of Samadi will resume the session where it left off, allowing the user to continuously communicate with the other end device. Clearly, the prior art discloses the limitation of claim 1. Therefore the rejection of claims 1-20 is maintained.

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER